UNITED STATES INSTITUTE OF PEACE

Capturing Conversations Through Guided Observation

UNITED STATES

INSTITUTE OF PEACE



The Justice and Security Dialogue Approach

Improving security by fostering trust between the community and security services

- Facilitates change through dialogue and other engagements
- Behaviors
- Stakeholder-driven, holistic and adaptive
- Previous: Nepal, Iraq, Libya, Yemen,
 Tanzania and Burma, and elsewhere



Saaba, Burkina Faso

JSD in the Sahel

Currently:

- Burkina Faso, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Tunisia
- 2016 Present

Tools:

Participant Surveys, Focus Groups,
 Community Survey, Meetings and Follow-On Activity tracking, Facilitator's Questionnaires,
 Team reflections, Partner reflections, Media tracking, Guided Observation



What is Guided Observation?

- Capture information from conversations, events, workshops, dialogues and more
- A set of questions around key themes/indicators
- Completed by trained, preferably impartial, persons
- Adaptive (context, scope, etc)
- Adds granularity

What is it good for?

Good For:

- Conversations
- Tracking behaviors, engagement and outward perceptions
- Ex: Re-integration of VE, youth rehabilitation programs, trust-building

Not Good For:

- Output-focused projects
- Non-observable perceptions



Dialogue 7. Niamey V, Niger

In Practice

Prep:

- Practice sessions
- Walking through questions
- Calibration
- Translation and adaptation

When to capture:

- Throughout the event
- Post-session
- Post-day
- Post-event



Dialogue 8, Guediawaye, Senegal

Dialogue 11. Jos North, Plateau State, Nigeria



Medium: Paper, Online or Debrief

Sample Areas

- Participant interaction
 - How would you describe interparticipant dynamics in the room? Please use descriptive adjectives.
- Points of Tension
 - Describe any disagreements during the dialogue. Did they get resolved? Why or why not?
- Planning
 - Did participants agree on a solution or solutions to the security issue? If yes, please describe the solution(s).
- Facilitation skills
 - For each facilitator active in facilitating this dialogue, please rate each facilitator on these skills. As you evaluate each facilitator on a skill, please use specific examples of how the facilitator demonstrated the rating given.
- Sustainability
 - At this time, if the partner and USIP stopped supporting the JSD process, do you think dialogue participants would be capable of continuing the process on their own? Please explain.

Challenges

- Subjectivity / Positive-Bias
 - Solution: training and other tools
- Variability of conversation
 - Solution: mix of questions, "choose your own adventure"
- Discrepancies
 - Solution: timely follow-up with observers and facilitators
- Thoroughness
 - Solution: training and refining of questions
- Comparability across countries
 - Solution: key indicators
- Unfamiliar
 - Solution: training, education, time
- Time

Lessons Learned

- Specificity of questions
- Focus on word choice, translation and cultural understanding
- Document should flow
 - Objective sections
 - Subjective sections
- Number of participants changes your approach
- Supplement quantitative with qualitative



Dialogue 3, La Marsa, Tunisia

Ways Forward

- → Updating our guided observation as the nature of dialogues change
- → Analyzing the responses we are getting to refine questions and inform decision-making
- → Using responses to help paint the picture of our impact
- → What are examples you've seen of guided observation?



Dialogue 3, La Marsa, Tunisia

